# IN THE HIGH COURT OF TANZANIA (COMMERCIAL DIVISION)

### AT DAR ES SALAAM

# COMMERCIAL CASE NO. 50 OF 2019

#### BETWEEN

AFRICARRIERS LIMITED......PLAINTIFF

Versus

SHIRIKA LA USAFIRI DAR ES SALAAM LIMITED......1<sup>st</sup> DEFENDANT EQUITY BANK TANZANIA LIMITED.......2<sup>nd</sup> DEFENDANT

Last Order: 16th July, 2020

Date of Ruling: 25th Aug, 2020

#### RULING

## FIKIRINI, J.

Prior to the hearing of the Plaintiff case the following three preliminary points of objection on point of law were raised by the defendants;

- 1. By the 1<sup>st</sup> defendant, that the plaintiff's witness statements were filed out of time without seeking an order for extension of time contrary to Rule 49 (2) of the High Court (Commercial Division) Procedure Rules 2012 (the Rules),
- 2. By the 2<sup>nd</sup> defendant that the witness statements and the additional list of documents have been filed out of time contrary to Rule 49 (1) and (2) of the Rules.

PSF

They thus urged the Court to dismiss the plaintiff's case for want of prosecution.

During the hearing the plaintiff was represented by Mr. Ngasa Ganja assisted by Ms. Moransia John and Mr. Haji Sama, while the 1<sup>st</sup> defendant enjoyed the legal services of Mr. Patrick Mtani, and Mr. Kephas Mayenje learned counsel appeared for the 2<sup>nd</sup> defendant. The preliminary points of objection were heard orally.

It was Mr. Mtani's submission that the witness statements of the 1<sup>st</sup> and the 2<sup>nd</sup> plaintiff witness have been filed out of the 14 days' time contrary to the order of this Court issued on 27<sup>th</sup> February, 2020, which categorically ordered parties to file their witness statements within those days from the date of the order. The plaintiff filed their witness statements on 13<sup>th</sup> March, 2020, while counting from the date of order, the deadline was 11<sup>th</sup> March, 2020, which means the filing was done two (2) days later and hence out of time.

Mr. Mtani further submitted that, the plaintiff counsel was present during the final pretrial conference so they were aware of the order of this Court. And in any case if they had difficulties in complying with the Court order, the law gives them room to apply for the extension of time. Since the witness statements have been filed outside the allowed time and without leave of the Court extending the time, thus the consequence for this failure to file witness statements is clear that the suit has to be dismissed for want of prosecution. Supporting his submission, he cited the